Near- and Deep-Ultraviolet Resonance Raman Spectroscopy of Pyrazine–Al₄ Complex and Al₃–Pyrazine–Al₃ Junction
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Near- and deep-ultraviolet (UV) resonance Raman spectroscopy of pyrazine–Al₄ complex and Al₃–pyrazine–Al₃ junction was investigated theoretically with a quantum chemical method. Here, 325 and 244 nm were employed as near- and deep-UV sources in our theoretical study. The intensities of static normal Raman spectra of pyrazine–Al₄ complex and Al₃–pyrazine–Al₃ junction were enhanced on the orders of 10 and 10³ by a static chemical mechanism, respectively. The calculated absorption spectra reveal strong 6B₂ stored and 13B₂ₓ electronic transitions near 325 nm for pyrazine–Al₄ complex and 244 nm for Al₃–pyrazine–Al₃ junction, respectively. The analyses of orbital transitions in electronic transitions reveal they are the mixture of (metal to molecule) charge transfer excitation and intrachlor cluster excitation. The intensity of near-UV resonance Raman spectroscopy of pyrazine–Al₄ complex and the intensity of deep-UV resonance Raman spectroscopy of Al₃–pyrazine–Al₃ junction are strongly enhanced on the order of 10⁵ and 10⁴, respectively, compared to the Raman intensity of isolated pyrazine excited at 325 and 244 nm. The calculations of Mie theory and the three-dimensional finite-difference time domain method reveal strong surface plasmon resonance and strong electromagnetic enhancements at 325 and 244 nm for single and dimer nanoparticles at suitable sizes and gap distance, respectively. The strongest SERS enhancement in the system of junction is on the order of 10⁸ at the incident lights of 325 and 244 nm. The total enhancements, including the chemical and electromagnetic enhancements, can reach up to 10¹³. So, Al is a suitable material for near- and deep-UV surface-enhanced resonance Raman scattering.

I. Introduction

Surface-enhanced Raman scattering (SERS) is widely used in chemistry, biology, physics, and material science, since it can enhance the inherently low Raman scattering cross sections of molecules, even at the level of single molecules.1–5 Extensive investigation of SERS is focused on the Au, Ag, and Cu substrates, which exhibit strong surface plasmon resonance (SPR) in the visible and near-infrared ranges.6–10 Due to the interband excitation below the wavelength of about 350 and 390 nm for Ag and Au, respectively, the electromagnetic damping results in the weak enhancement of SERS.11 To extend the applicability of the SERS effect into ultraviolet (UV), other SERS-active metals besides Ag or Au are required that show a plasmonic resonance in the UV.

The investigation of the near- and deep-ultraviolet resonance Raman scattering is rapidly evolving, because of advantages and application in bioscience and material sciences.12–23 First, the Raman scattering efficiency scales with the fourth power of the frequency. Second, scattering efficiency will be dramatic enhanced when molecules are excited at the molecular electronic absorption bands (resonance Raman scattering) in the UV range. For the preresonance (the wavelength of the laser is close to this resonance) Raman scattering, the relatively high enhancement factors can also be achieved, since the resonance wavelengths is not sharply defined. Third, the UV Raman spectros-
copy is not interfered by fluorescence; since there is no material that fluoresces below the wavelength of 280 nm, then the fluorescence and Raman spectra are completely separated at an excitation wavelength below 260 nm.\textsuperscript{12}

In the search for UV-SERS material, aluminum (Al) is one of best candidates, because it has low absorption down to a wavelength of 200 nm due to its free-electron-like character and plasmon resonance in UV range,\textsuperscript{11} but such experimental and theoretical investigation is quite limited. Experimentally, Dörfer and co-workers demonstrated the capability of an aluminum surface to be SERS-active for deep-UV excitation wavelengths.\textsuperscript{24} Ekinic et al. found that Al nanostructures exhibit strong plasmon resonances in the UV range.\textsuperscript{25} Taguchi et al. reported the tip enhancement of resonance Raman scattering using deep-ultraviolet excitation wavelength.\textsuperscript{18} The tip enhancement was successfully demonstrated with an aluminum-coated silicon tip that acts as a plasmonic material in deep-UV wavelengths.\textsuperscript{18} Shashilov and Lednev studied 2D correlation deep-UV resonance Raman spectroscopy of early events of lysozyme fibrillation.\textsuperscript{23} Theoretically, Zeman predicted theoretically that Al ellipsoids enable strong Raman scattering enhancement factors in the UV range.\textsuperscript{26}

The pyrazine molecule is an important probe molecule in the SERS field, and the SERS of pyrazine has been extensively investigated experimentally and theoretically,\textsuperscript{27-31} which is suitable to study the coupling effect of metals in metal-pyrazine-metal junction systems, due to the bonding interaction between nitrogen atoms and two metal electrodes. Recent experimental and theoretical findings indicate that large SERS enhancements have been observed for molecules interacting with small nanocluster or nanocrystalline semiconductor surface.\textsuperscript{32-34} We have studied the chemical mechanism of surface-enhanced resonance Raman scattering (SERRS) via charge transfer in

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$\alpha_x$</th>
<th>$\alpha_y$</th>
<th>$\alpha_z$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pyrazine</td>
<td>73.9</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>31.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pyrazine–Al$_4$ complex</td>
<td>139.6</td>
<td>329.2</td>
<td>479.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al$_3$–pyrazine–Al$_3$ junction</td>
<td>1094.6</td>
<td>320.5</td>
<td>282.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2. Static normal Raman scattering spectra of (a) pyrazine, (b) pyrazine–Al$_4$ complex, and (c) Al$_3$–pyrazine–Al$_3$ junction.

Table 1: Calculated Static Electronic Polarizability Components (in au)

Figure 3. (a) Absorption spectra of (a) pyrazine, (b) pyrazine–Al$_4$ complex, and (c) Al$_3$–pyrazine–Al$_3$ junction in the range of 3.5–5.5 eV.
PATP–metal (metal = Au or Ag) complex and PATP–metal junction, and tunneling charge transfer in metal–PATP–metal junction at the incident light of 1064 nm was visualized with charge difference density. The resonance enhancement and the charge transfer mechanism play important roles. So, models of pyrazine–Al₄ complex and Al₃–pyrazine–Al₃ junction (n ≤ 10) may be suitable to simultaneously simulate the charge-transfer enhancement and resonance Raman spectroscopy of molecules adsorbed on the Al substrate.

In this paper, with quantum chemical methods, we theoretically study the near- and deep-UV resonance Raman spectroscopy of pyrazine–Al₄ complex and Al₃–pyrazine–Al₃ junction. Here, 325 and 244 nm were employed as near- and deep-UV sources in our theoretical study. Strong chemical enhancement via charge transfer at the incident lights of 325 and 244 nm were revealed theoretically. With generalized Mie theory, SPR of Al single particle and particle dimer were tuned to 325 and 244 nm by their size or separation (for dimer), while local electric field enhancement was carried at each resonance by the three-dimensional finite-difference time domain method (3D-FDTD) method.

**Figure 4.** Molecular orbitals of pyrazine–Al₄ complex and Al₃–pyrazine–Al₃ junction in the ⁶B₂ and ¹⁳B₂u electronic transitions near 325 and 244 nm, respectively, where the blue and red stand for different phases of wave functions.

| Table 2: Electronic Transitions of ⁶B₂ and ¹³B₂u with Large Oscillator Strength for Pyrazine–Al₄ Complex and Al₃–Pyrazine–Al₃ Junction, Respectively |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| vibrational modes | eV | components of orbital transitions |
| pyrazine–Al₄ complex | ⁶B₂ | 3.8245 | 77.7% (7b₂→1³a₁); 11.1% (7b₂→1²a₂) |
| Al₃–pyrazine–Al₃ junction | ¹³B₂u | 5.0773 | 22.7% (7b₂→1³a₁); 11.3% (7b₂→1³a₁); 10.4% (7b₂→1³a₁); 10.1% (7b₁→1³a₁) |
II. Methods

All quantum chemical calculations were performed with Amsterdam density functional (ADF) suite. Models of pyrazine–Al₄ and Al₃–pyrazine–Al₃ were used as molecule–Al complex and Al–molecule–Al junction (see Figure 1), where pyrazine, pyrazine–Al₄ complex, and Al₃–pyrazine–Al₃ junction are D₂h, C₂v, and D₂h symmetry, respectively. The molecular structures of them were calculated at the density functional theory (DFT) level of theory using the gradient-corrected Becke–Perdew (BP86) exchange-correlation functional. A triplet-ζ polarized Slater-type (TZP) basis set has been used to optimize ground-state geometry. A full electron basis set has been used for N, C, and H, whereas the 2p core has been frozen for Al. The absorption spectra of them were calculated with time-dependent density functional theory (TD-DFT), using the same functional and basis set. In the calculations of electronic transitions, only singlet–singlet excitations were evaluated. The vibrational frequencies and normal nodes are calculated within the harmonic approximation. The BP86 functional often gives harmonic frequencies that are close to experimental values. The static normal Raman and resonant Raman spectra of isolated pyrazine, pyrazine–Al₄ complex, and Al₃–pyrazine–Al₃ junction were calculated at zero frequency and 325 and 244 nm, respectively.

To confirm that aluminum nanoparticle could be a candidate for SERS in near- and deep-UV regions, we perform electromagnetic simulations of both single sphere and sphere dimer, based on generalized Mie theory. SPR can be effortlessly tuned by varying their size and separation; we can shift their resonance to 242 and 316 nm for single sphere and 325 and 248 nm for sphere dimer, according to the applied light sources. The maximum order of multipole in the simulation was set to more than 22 and 25 for singlet and dimer, respectively. The dielectric constants of Al were taken from ref 11.

For quantitative estimate of the electromagnetic enhancement at the near-field region, we used the 3D-FDTD method to calculate the local electric field distribution of Al spheres at the special frequency, namely 244 and 325 nm. In this method, the space containing the simulated model is discretized using elements called the “yee cell”. The number of periods of the
From Figure 3b, there is a near-resonant excitation at 325 nm. There is no electronic resonance excitation at 325 nm, while at 244 nm, there is a near-resonant excitation at 325 nm (3.815 eV) and 13B2u electronic transition (5.0773 eV) is only 0.0095 eV, so the Raman spectroscopy of pyrazine–Al complex at the incident light of 325 nm should be resonant Raman spectroscopy.

Close to the 13B2u electronic transition at 5.0773 eV, Raman spectroscopy of Al3–pyrazine–Al3 junction at the incident light of 244 nm (5.081 eV) is resonant Raman. Since the energy difference between 244 nm (5.081 eV) and 13B2u electronic transition (5.0773 eV) is only 0.0037 eV, we can consider that the Raman spectroscopy of Al3–pyrazine–Al3 junction at the incident light of 244 nm is the resonant Raman spectroscopy.

According to the components of orbital transitions in electronic excitations and the density of state on these orbitals (see them in Table 2 and Figure 4), they are the mixture of metal to molecule charge transfer excitation and intracluster excitation, and the dominant contribution is charge-transfer excitation. If the cluster size is large enough, charge-transfer excitation and intracluster excitation are the mechanisms of chemical and electromagnetic enhancement, respectively.

C. Near- and Deep-UV Resonant Raman Spectra. To calculate the Raman enhanced factors, the Raman spectra of isolated pyrazine at 325 and 244 nm were calculated (see Figure 5a). It is found that the Raman intensity at 325 nm is only slightly enhanced by 4 times that of the static normal Raman (see Figure 2a), and the Raman profile of them is quite similar. While the Raman intensity at 244 nm is strongly enhanced, compared to the intensities of the static normal Raman spectroscopy, and the enhanced order is 104, the Raman profile of them is significantly different. The reason can be interpreted by the absorption of pyrazine. There is no electronic resonance excitation at 325 nm, while at 244 nm, there is a near resonant excitation (see Figure 5a).

Since the incident light of 325 nm (3.815 eV) is close to the 6B2 electronic transition at 3.8245 eV for pyrazine–Al4 complex, we calculated the preresonance Raman spectroscopy of pyrazine–Al complex at 325 nm, which can be seen in Figure 5c. By comparing parts a and c for Figure 5, three conclusions can be obtained: (1) the enhanced order of resonance Raman intensity is 103, resulting from the resonant enhancement via metal to molecule charge transfer; (2) their profiles are significant different, which is the spectroscopy evidence for a charge-transfer enhanced mechanism; and (3) the 1563 cm\(^{-1}\) (a\(_{1}\) mode) band is the dominantly enhanced one, since it corresponds to the vibrational motion of the nitrogen atoms along the Ag–N bond. We also calculated the resonance Raman spectroscopy at 3.8245 eV (6B2 electronic transition) for pyrazine–Al4 complex (see Figure 5e). It is found that the profile of preresonant Raman spectroscopy at 325 nm is very similar to the profile of resonance Raman spectroscopy at 3.8245 eV (6B2 electronic transition), but the intensity of preresonant Raman spectroscopy is one-third that at 6B2 electronic transition (3.8245 eV).

We also calculated the preresonance Raman spectroscopy of Al3–pyrazine–Al3 junction at 244 nm (see Figure 5d), which is significantly different from the preresonance Raman of pyrazine at 244 nm. Because of metal to molecule charge transfer (chemical mechanism), the intensity of resonance Raman spectroscopy of Al3–pyrazine–Al3 junction is enhanced at the level of 104, compared to that of preresonant Raman spectroscopy of isolated pyrazine at the incident light of 244 nm. Since the energy difference between 244 nm (5.081 eV) and 13B2u electronic transition (5.0773 eV) is only 0.0037 eV, not only are the profiles of their Raman spectra very similar but also their Raman intensities are almost the same.
D. Near- and Deep-UV Extinction Spectroscopy Near-Field Distribution. Since surface plasmon resonance of metal nanoparticles can be effortlessly tuned by varying their size and separation, we can shift their resonance toward 244 and 325 nm for single sphere and sphere dimer. Far-field extinction spectrum of single aluminum nanosphere and sphere dimer can be seen in Figure 6, where dipolar resonance peaks were tuned toward 244 nm (Figure 6a) and 325 nm (Figure 6b). When the radius of a single sphere is 39 nm and those of the dimer are 20 nm with a gap of 1.5 nm, the SPR peak can be tuned to near 244 nm. When the radius of a single sphere is 55 nm and the radius of sphere dimer is 30 nm with a gap of 1.2 nm, the SPR peak can be tuned to near 325 nm.

Figure 7a,b demonstrates the near-field distribution at 244 nm for single sphere and sphere dimer with the same size and gap distance as in Figure 6a. The strongest electromagnetic enhancements are $|M|^2 = 2.93 \times 10^4$ ($|M|^2 = |E_{local}/E_0|^2$) and $|M|^2 = 1.52 \times 10^4$ for single sphere and sphere dimer, respectively. The enhancements of SERS are $|M|^4 = 8.58 \times 10^2$ and $|M|^4 = 2.31 \times 10^6$, respectively. Figure 7c,d demonstrates the near-field distribution at 325 nm for single sphere and sphere dimer with the same size and gap distance as in Figure 6b. The strongest electromagnetic enhancements are $|M|^2 = 2.23 \times 10^4$ and $|M|^2 = 1.54 \times 10^4$ for single sphere and sphere dimer, respectively. The enhancements of SERS are $|M|^4 = 4.97 \times 10^2$ and $|M|^4 = 2.37 \times 10^6$, respectively.

IV. Conclusion

Quantum chemical results revealed that intensities of static normal Raman spectra of pyrazine–Al₄ complex and Al₃–pyrazine–Al₃ junction were enhanced on the orders of 10 and 10³ by a static chemical mechanism, respectively. So, the coupling effect by the junction is very important for the Raman intensity. The intensity of near-UV resonance Raman spectroscopy of pyrazine–Al₄ complex and the intensity of deep-UV resonance Raman spectroscopy of Al₃–pyrazine–Al₃ junction are strongly enhanced by on the order of 10⁵ and 10⁴, respectively, compared to the Raman intensity of isolated pyrazine excited at 325 and 244 nm. The SPR peak can be tuned to 325 and 244 nm by controlling the size and gap distance of nanospheres, and SERS enhancement can reach on the order of 10^8 ($|M|^4$) for single and 10^13 ($|M|^4$) for dimer nanoparticles at 325 and 244 nm. So, Al is a suitable material for near- and deep-UV surface-enhanced resonance Raman scattering. The total enhancements, including the chemical and electromagnetic enhancements, can reach up to 10^13.

Acknowledgment. This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 90923003, 10874234, 20703064, 20703032, 10625418, and 10804015), the National Basic Research Project of China (Grant Nos. 2009CB930701, 2009CB930703, and 2007CB936804), and the Natural Science Foundation of Fujian Province of China (Grant No. E0710028).
References and Notes

(16) Hecht, L.; Clarkson, J.; Smith, B. J. E.; Springett, R. J. Raman Spectrosc. 2007, 37, 562.
(22) Huang, C.; Balakrishnan, G.; Spiro, T. G. J. Raman Spectrosc. 2006, 37, 277.